UNITED MATIONS PALESTINE COMMISSION

Communication Received from United Kingdom Delegation Concering Rehovoth Train Outrage in Palestine

The following communication, enclosing the text of a Question and Answer in the House of Commons on the Rehovoth Train outrage in Falcetine on February 27, has been received from Mr. Trafford Smith of the United Kingdom Delegation.

3rd March, 1948

My dear Bunche,

I enclose a copy of the text of a Question and Answer in the House of Commons of March 1st, dealing with the train outrage in Palestine on February 27th.

Tou will see that in answering the Question, the Colonial Office spokesman made a number of quotations from the statement issued by the Government of Palestine of March lat, of which I believe you have already received copies.

Yours sincerely,

/s/ Trafford Smith

(Trafford Smith)

Following is tert of question and snaver in House of Commons to-day, lst March.

EDGIES.

Mr. Eden: To ask the Secretary of State for the Colonies whether he has eny statement to make on the mining of the Cairo-Baifa train yesterday.

It is with the deepest possible regret that his Majesty's Answer: Covernment announce that at approximately 8:40 in the morning of the 29th February the passenger train from Kentara to Baifs was blown up by unknown persons a short distance north of Rehovoth Railway Station. The train consisted of 13 coaches 5 of which were military and the last 3 coaches which contained military personnel only were completely wrecked. The following casualties, all British service personnel, were caused by the explosion. Dead 27, dengerously wounded 6, seriously wounded 9, slightly wounded 20. The casualties were cleared by 10.30 a.m. and were edmitted to the British Military Ecspital at Bear Ysacov. On investigation it was found that a charges had been laid under sleepers approximately lo yards apart. Three of these had been detenated and the fourth, which failed to explode, was found to contain 100 pounds of amsonal in sandbags. All four chages were wired to an ignition point in an orange grove 300 yards from the track. Ho essistance was given to military personnel ruho were carrying out investigations by persons in the locality. There is as yet no information available as to the identity of persons responsible for this outrage except for a report that the Stern Cang have claimed responsibility. I wish on behalf of Els Majesty's Covernment and the Covernment of Palestine to express deep sympathy with the relatives of those who lost their lives as a result of this shocking outrage. A statement has been issued by the Covernment of Palestine in Jerusalem to-day recalling the catalogue of enormities perpetrated by Jevish terrorists in recent months and drawing ettention to the failure of the Jevish Community to assist in bringing the guilty to justice end in particular the refusal to give evidence in the official police inquiries into the Ben Yehuda Street explosion. Leaders of the Jevish Community" the statement declares, "have felt themeclives unable for political reasons to take any steps to bring to justice the persons responsible for these crimes and have thus facilitated the spread of lawlessness and disorder to a point at which the community itself is threatened with destruction by elements within itself. In this neglect of its responsibilities the Jevish Agency has attempted to excuse itself by resort to calculated innuendoes, falschoods and propaganda directed against British members of the Security Forces who are, in fact, every day protecting Jewish property and saving hundreds of sivilian lives even at the risk of their own". Referring to the Ben Yehuce Street outrage the statement points out that "in spite of official deniels the Jewish Agency has repeated that it was a British Army Convoy that was responsible" and continues "nobody outside Sevieb circles believes this and there can be no other purpose in repeating it than to stiroup racial hatred. The fact is that the vehicles responsible for this outrage were no more a British Army Convoy than the vehicles stolen from time to time by Jews and used by them on mumerous occasions for the murder of many more people than were killed in Ben Tehuda Street." The statement concludes "The Government, sindful of the duty of the Security Forces to maintain law and order and confronted with the deliberate policy of the Jewish Agency to render their task as difficult as possible, desires now to bring once more to the serious attention of the Jewish community in Palestine the fact that the continuance of indiscriminate murder and condoned terrorism can lead only to the forfeiture by the community of all right in the eyes of the world to be numbered emong civilised peoples".

Mr. Eden: I am sure the whole House will endorse what the Homourable Gentleman said at the end of his statement. Does he recall that some little time ego I asked him whether the Authorities on the spot had taken every step to put the Armed Forces on a War feeting because it is clear that we are dealing with a completely ruthless enemy. May I ask him whether he is satisfied that that is now being done. For instance, were all the military presautions which would have been taken if the Armed Forces were on a War feeting taken in this case. It seems to us that some further presautions will have to be taken especially about the movement of boiles of troops of any size by rail.

Mr. Rees Williams: All necessary precautions have been taken but the Right Honourable Gentleman will understand that the protection of a railway line is a very difficult operation. The Military Authorities in Palestine have full power to take whatever measures they deem necessary to protect the lives of the British troops in Palestine.

Mr. Wilson Harris: Can the Minister throw any light on the origin of the explosives? Is it of a type which could be obtained by looting in Palestine itself!

Mr. Rees Villiams: Yes Sir.

Air Commodore Harvey: If these men were returning from leave why were they not flown direct from Egypt to Palestine to avoid the necessity of going by rail.

Mr. Rees Williams: I could not enswer that question without notice.

Mr. Thomas Reid: Is not the saving of lives in Palestine more important than winning or losing the next election in America.

Squadron Leader Flesing: Is it not a fact that in spite of these repeated outrages martial law has not yet been declared in Palestine.

Mr. Ress Williams: It is a technical point as to whether martial law would give any more protection but if the Military Authorities in Palestine desired to impose martial law His Majesty's Government would acquiesce.

Mr. Edon: This is a matter of far reaching importance and there have been many questions. The position is far from clear. May I ask the Honorable Contleman and perhaps the Frime Minister too if they would look into this matter and assure us that if martial law would assist the protection of the lives of our troops then martial law will at once be declared.

Mr. Rees Williams: We have looked into this matter and the military authorities themselves have not up to now desired to have martial law imposed. It is their decision. The Colonial Office suggested the imposition of martial law and they did not want it.

Mr. Thurtle: In view of the fact that this outrage appears to have had its origin in the outrage in Jerusalem can my Econographe Friend say whether his extention has been drawn to an article in the Jewish Standard of last Saturday which contained all sorts of immendoes against the Eritish troops and will be take early steps to repudiate the suggestions in the article.

Mr. Bees Williams: I have not read the article but it is now quite clear that British troops were in no way responsible for these incidents and it is a blatant lie to say that they were.

Mr. Edon: I agree but the Honourable Gentleman will recollect that in previous questions references were made to statements containing these innuendoes against the British troops and I think the Honourable Gentleman undertooks to consult the Attorney General in the matter. May we know the result of that consultation. Mr. Rees Williams: The matter is still under consideration. We have not yet had any reply.

Vice Admiral Taylor: Is it not a fact that the Military Authorities have not imposed martial law in Polestine because they have not got sufficient troops to carry it out.

Sir Relph Clyn: Eas not the Higher Commissioner powers under special ordinance to put into force regulations equivalent to martial law?

Mr. Roes Williams: Yes he has and that is why up to now the military authorities have not decided to impose martial law.

Capt. Marsdon: Have the Military Authorities powers within the Commander in Chief's cen authority to impose martial law or do they have to get their authority from the High-Commissioner or from the Secretary of State!

Mr. Ress Villiams: At the present moment the authority rests with the Righ Commissioner. If martial law were imposed the authority would derive from the Commander in Chief but that position has not been desired by the Military Authorities in Palestine. If the Commander in Chief desired to impose martial law we should agree to it but he has not yet desired it.

Capt. Marsdon: Eas not the High Commissioner expressed any views on the subject one way or the other?

Mr. Rees Villians: Yes he has. He has so far acquiseced in the views of the Military Authority.

Sir A. Salter: In view of the Minister's statement on the attitude of the Jewish Agency will be say what steps are being taken in regard to that Agency?

Mr. Roos Williams: That is a matter now under consideration.

Mr. Follick: Can my Honourable Friend say whether a request has yet received from the Commander in Pelestine asking for additional troops to be sent cut there to meet the difficult position?

Mr. Rees Williams: No such request has been received.

Sir Villiam Darling: Eas the possibility been considered of placing on all trains on which British troops are traveling representatives of the Local Authority whether Jews or Arabet In similar circumstances some years ago that practice was followed with great advantage.

Mr. Rees Williams: No.

Mr. Keenan: Could not the Government try and clarify the position so that nortial law will be nearer than apparently it is?

ENDS.