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Classification of palestine into land and Rainfall Zones.

1. In its resolution 394 (V) adopted by the General Assembly on 14 December 1950, the United Nations Conciliation for, Palestine was directed inter alia to "make such arrangements as it may consider necessary for the assessment and payment of compensation in pursuanco of paragraph 11 of General Assembly resolution 194 (111)."

The Commission, following the reaffirmation by the delegation of Israel at the Paris Conferenco on 14 November 1951, that Isracl was roady to contribute to the settlement of the question of compensation for Arab property abandonod in its torritory, instructed its Land Specialist to undortake discussions on a technical level ${ }^{l}$. On the conclusion of those discussions the Commission decided that the work of assessing potential claims to compensation should bo startod without dolay ${ }^{2}$. Accordingly, it roquostod the Socrotary-General to sot up a spocial staff to undertake first the identification and thon the valuation of Arab immovable property holdings in Israel for which componsation might bo claimod. Under the dircction of Land Exports the Commission! s Office for Identification and Valuation has carried on the project both at Headquarters in New York and in Jerusalom. The bulk of the identification work was done in Jerusalem from which city it was possible to, obtain access to the various necessary records. The valuation work has been largoly carricd out with a smallor spocialist staff at Now York.
2. The purpose of identification was to bring into existenco a compact rocord of individual Arab land holdings in Isracl which could bc used as a basis for verifying individual claims to ownorship. Tho idontification was to describe the ownorship, interost in, and nature of, cach holding as at the date of the tormination of the British Mandate, nemely, 15 Mey 1948. 3. Vatuation is a netural corollary of idontification without which the project would be incomplete. The values to be ascertaincd wore the markot valuos of the various holdings at the date of the adoption by the Gencral Assembly of the Partition Plan, namoly, 29 November 1947.
4. The following paragraphs cxplain in detail the methods adopted to achicve the identification and the techniques of the valuation work.
5. In this report the vordse and torms set out horeundor aro uscd in tho sense indicated unless tho context otherwise requires.

Commission
Offico

Land

Immovable Proporty Villages

Urban Arcas

Value

Net Annuel Velue (N.A.V.)

Tax Categnery

Scttled Land

The United Nations Conciliation Commission for Palestine. The Commission's Office for the Identification and Veluation of Arab Rofugec Immovable Proporty in Isracl. The word "lend." includes, where the context so requires, any buildings, trees or other fixtures therto. Land as defined above. The administrativo ontitios so listod in the Administrative Divisions (Amondmont) Proclamation 1947 and to which oither the Rural Property Tax Ordinance or the Tithe (Commutation) Ordinance applicd.
The administrative ontitios so listod in the Administrative Divisions (Amendmont) Proclamation 1947 Ordinance. Except whero the contoxt otherwisc roquires, the sum of monoy in Palostine Pounds (IP) which a proporty might bo expectod to roalize in a salc in the opon market on 29 November 1947, at which date the Palestino Pound was cquivalent to the Pound Storling.
Has the moaning ascribod to it in tho Urban Proporty Tax Ordinanco (soc paragraph 30).

Noto: In addition to properties in Urban aroes which all had a N.A.V. ascribed to them cortain industrial propertios in the village arcas also hed a N.A.V. ascribed to thom when such N.A.V. wes LP 20 or more.
The numerical category as dofinod in tho Rural Property Tax Ordinance (Sce Appendix C).
Lend to which titlc hes boen setiled undor the Land (Scttlement of Titlc) Ordinance, and other land in parts of Boisen, Tiborias and Jenin Sub-districts) in which the title to ownership hes boen sctiled under the Ghor Mudewware Agrocment. The word "sottled" hes nothing to do with physical occupetion. Land settloment was an oporation undortaken by the Mendetory Administration fer the purpose of rogularizing land tonure in Pelostinc. The oxect

Non-settled Land
Rural Property Tax Ordinance

Urban Property
Tax Ordinance
Tithe (Commutation) Ordinance

BBuilt-on-Area

Parcel

Urban Assessment Block

Fiscal Block
Registration
Blocks
Armistice Line
Demilitarized Zones No Man's Land

## Bunum

blaptation
boundaries of parcels were set down on plans and details of ownership, etc. recorded.
Land which is not "settled" land.
The Ordinance which prescribed a taxation system for all property in rural areas. At the termination of the Mandate the ordinance had not been applied to the Beersheba Sub-district.

The ordinance which prescribed a taxation system for all property in urban areas
The ordinance which provided for the payment of a fixed cash contribution in lieu of the old system of payment of tithes by reference to the annual yield of the land. It was applicable only to the Beersheba Sub-district. That built on portion of a village which is classified under Tax Category 4 and comprises one or more Fiscal or Registration Blocks (q.v.)
An area of land forming the smallest unit capable of separate identification.

An Area of land in a "non-settled" urban area.
An area of mon-settled' land comprising one or more parcels. An area of 1 settled" land comprising one or more parcels. Note. Fiscal end registration blocks are not co-extensive. The demarcation line as defined by the Armistice Agreements: Areas so designated by the Armistice Agreements: Areas between the demarcation lines
Unit of land measurement comprising 1,000 square metres. Land eriented with ruit trecs othor than citrus and bananas. 14 The Register recording details of transactions in

Register of Title

Schocule of Rights

Field Valuation Shect

Valuation List
Tax Distribution List (for "nonsottlod" land)

The Rogistor rocording title to about $5,000,000$ dunums of lands sottled under the Land (Scttlemont of Title) Ordinance which indluded the block ond parcol number of the property concorned, the namos of the owncrs ind thoir sheres, tho aron and doscription, and a noto of any oncumbrencos. A scparato folio was propared for cach parcol. The rogistor also inclurod the dato of rogistretion and the date of any transection but not the consideration.
The documont publishod unecr the Lane (Sottloment of Title) Ordinanco on which the Rogistors of Title were besed. The working shoct of the taxation authority in urban aroas which included the block and percel numbor of the proporty, the owner or roputod ownor, a doscription of the property whethor lanc or building ne, if tho lattor, a bricf Coscription of its neture. The sheet also showed the area, and the valuos attributod to land and/or buildings in terms of gross and not annual value ind date of valuation. It may be neted that the owner's name was inclurled only to facilitate the collection of the tax and it was not uncomon for the names of one or more'sharcholcers to be omitted. Encumbrances (o.g. Mortgage) are not shown. The statutory document basod on the Ficld Valuation Sheets The list propared by Tax Distribution Committoos for rural proportios in "non-scttled" aroas which includes the block and percel numbers, the roputod owner or ownors and their shercs, the arce, tex catcgory and the amount of tax levice on cach parcel.

Tax Payors' Registor $l_{1}$ summery of the Tex Distribution List.
Parcol
Classification
Schedule
Land Rogistrars
Roturn of Dispositions
Villnge Mnps

The cocument setting out tho tex catogory in "scttloc" rural aroas for onch parcol together with its arca and roscription.
Tho roport properod wookly by Lend Rcgistrars, giving c.ctails of all tronsactions rogistored by thom.

A mep showing the b-unerary of the villegc, the fiscal block rivision, anr also rogistrotion block Civisions if ony pert
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The territory held by Israel under the Armistice Agreements comprises some twenty million dunums about half of which constitutes what is commonly known as the "Negev. 1 tagain about half of the ten million dunums of the land outside the "Negev" was "settled" under the Land (Settlement of Titles), Ordinance. Essentially the identification consists of preparing for each parcel owned by Arabs, including partnerships, companies and cooperative societies a basic form (RP/ ) giving, as at the termination of the British Mandate, the location, area, description, name of owners and their shares, particulars of encumbrances (e.g. mortgages, leases)', taxation category or N.A.V. whichever is applicable, and the consideration recorded in any sale which took place in 1946 - 47. In addition record forms have also been preparod for the following classcs of land. In each case the form makos the exact status clear:
i. Land owned by rcligious bodios.
ii. Land recorded as Statc Domain but which was subject to transfor to Arabs on paymont by them of the unimproved value of the land (badl mithl).
iii. Land recorded as State Domain but which had been occupied by Arabs for many yoars and which was regarded by the Mandatory Govornment as lat to the occupiers.undor implied lesses.
iv. Land recorded as State Domain but which was let to Arabs under long term leases.
v. Land ownod by non-Arabs but which was let to Arabs under long term lenses.
7. The identification was extended to cover the "no men's lind" in the Jerus:lem - Romle area and the "demiliterizod zones" in the northern region and Boorshcba Sub-district. In the case of "scttlod" vilinges cut by the Armistice lino it was possible to onsure that only those pricols falling wholly or partly on the Isracl side of the line were included. In the "non-settled" villages whore the exact location of the parcel is not known, all Arab owned parcels in the fiscal blocks cut by the line were included, even though some of thom fall on the Jordinian side of the line.
8. The principle observed by the Office throughout the identification project his been to include rather then exclude doubtful casos on the grounds thet it would be comparativoly easy to oxclude such cases at a later stige.
9. The total number of basic forms (RP/1) is approximately 453,000. The total number of fiscal blocks is 1,705 and of registration blocks 8,619 making a tot=l of 10,324 blocks outside of the rural aroz of Boershoba Sub-district.* The Office has specifically avoided hoarsay evidince or information which is unsupported by documentary evidence from official, sources.
10. The various documents consulted are set out bolow and a description of each is included in the Definition suction of this paper. In the casc of the Registers of Title photographic copies prepared on microfilm by the Mandatory Administration were used. When the film was illegible or nonexistant and in the case of other documents, the originals were exrmined with the cooperation of the suthorities concorned in Gize, Israel, Jordan and Syris..
a. Registers of Title
b. Registors of Deeds
c. Tax Distribution Lists
d. Field Valuation Shects
o. Schodule of Rights
f. Parcel Classification Schedules
g. Land Registrar's Returns of Dispositions
h. Village Miaps and Block Plans
11.: The following table indicate the information extracted for onch parcel and the sources from which it was obtainid. The reference letters refor to the differont documonts listod sbove.

[^0]
12. It will be noted that in the case of "settled" land the essential data for identification is obtained from the Registors of Title. As these Registers provide absolute proof of title, the idontification ma be said to bo positive and definite. As the photographs were made some months before the termination of the Mandate, it was necessary to incorporate in the basic form ( $\mathrm{RP} / \mathrm{l}$ ) all the transactions registerod subsequently by reference to the Registrars' Returns of Dispositions.

## SPECIAL PROBLEMS

13. In the case of "non-settled" land both in the urban and rural areas the identification based on the Fiold Valuation Shocts, Tax Distribution Lists and Registers of Deeds is not so definitive. The numes recorded in these documents sre the reputed owncrs or the persons rosponsible for paying the tax. While in the majority of cases the namo is most likely to be correct, the documents themselves do not constitute absolute proof of title. Further, the tax documents do not necessarily show all the share holders in any particular property as the tax authorities were only concorncd to indicate any one shere holder who they then held rosponsible for the tex.
14. A deficiency in the identification projoct occurs in the caso of cortain areas of land in "non-settled villages. In the case of these aroas as indicated in paragraph 12 above the names of owners have been obtained from Tax Distribution Lists, the compilation of which wes the rosponsibility of Village Tax Distribution

Committee. The duty of the Committee was to apportion the total amount of tax payable by the village, assossed by tho Govornmont officials on the basis of the various tax eategories, to the different individual ownors according to the category of the land owned. No special problem was involved in the case of parcels in catcgories l-15. However, uncultivable and some marginal land in rural areas were placed in Category 16 under the Rural Property Tax Ordinance, and because such land was not liable to tax, the Tax Distribution Committee did not always make a distinction in their records of "non-settled" viliages between land of that kind which was used in common by all the inhabitants of the village and that which was privately ownod. In the "non-settled" villages the Office was obliged to use the taxation records as the basis of its identification and was thorefore unable to make this distinction. Accordingly, such lund has been excluded as not being privately owned. Some 460,000 dunums are affected by this difîiculty. 15. The Becrsheba Sub-district contained about twelve and one half million dunums, most of which was desert, and nono of which was "settled" under the Land (Sottlement of Title) Ordinance. In the "Villnge Statistics 1945" published by the Mandatory Administration the figure of 1,935,000 dunums was included as Arab owned cultivable land, some 60,000 dunums of which are now in Jordan and the Gaza Strip, leaving approximately 1,875,000 dunums in Israel. In this Sub-district rural land taxation rested on a system of commuted tithes. The tithes records which might hive formed the basis of identification have not beon found. Tho Registers of Doods wore oxemined and registration therein was found to account for only 200,000 dunums of which about 64,000 dunums were registered in the names of Arabs. Basic forms (RP/1) have boon preparod so far only for Arab owned lands included in the Rogister of Doeds. The information is confincd to the namo of the locality in which the property was situated and the boundarios of cach ownership are roughly defined by physical description. It scoms reasonable to suggest that any non-Bcdouin acquiring land in the Bourshoba Subdistrict, would $h$ ve taken stops to rogister it in order to be in a better position to resist encro?chments upon it. If this is so tho romainder of the $1,935,000$ dunums of cultivable land may be rogirded as hoving been sultivated by the Bedouin. No procise information his yet been obtained concoming the expetsumbich toy be ownedil by cathor indiviaual Bodouin or by
supplomontary document showing the broakdown of these areas by villages, urban areas, and also the areas in non-firab ownership is available in the Commission's files.
18. The basic forms (RP/I) are filed in the rocords of the office under the name of the town or village in which the parcol they reprosent is situnted. For the purpose of onsuring thit the process of identification is complete, lists ( $\mathrm{RP} / 3$ ) were proparod for land owned by the State, other public nuthorities, Jews and other non-Arab individunls showing the area so owned. This aroa, added to that ownod by irabs was cumparod with official records of the extent of the lend in each urban area and villago.

## IV. ANALYSIS AND TECHNIQUES OF VALULTION

## Principles adoptcd

19. In accordance with the broad terms of roforenco the office has conducted its work with the object of ascribing to each parcel of arab owned land a value equivalent to the price which might have been realized on a sale of the parcel in the open market on 29 November 1947.
20. The valuation project of which a detailed description is set out in subsequent paragraphs, differs from the valuation oprried out by the Commission's Refugce Office in 1951 in its nature and the method of approach. Whereas the latter valuntion was a bruad estimate of overall valuo based on knowledge of the existing use of broad catcgorics of land, the work described below lod, in torms of a consistent overall method, to an opinion of value of each individual parcel heving ragard to its individunl market value at 29 Nuvembor 1947.
21. It will beclear from the above that valuntion mothods havo been based on tho prior work of idontification. It should be nuted that the valuation techniques developed are also rolitive to conditions pertaining in $1947 / 48$ and any result in the form of valuation figures would reprosent, In the opinion of the Land Expert, the velue of the property as it existod
are indicntcd by thot tribal, name on most contemporary maps of the territorys The area of Arab owned land in the Beersheba Sub-district is as follows:

|  |  | Dunums |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivable land | Non-Bedouin | $\square 64,000$ |
|  | Bedouin | 1,811,000 |
| Uncultivable land |  | 10,570,000 |
| Total Area |  | 12,445,000 |

16. In the casc of the following urban areas and villages the identifica tion is incomplete for the reasons stated:

## Famle Urben Aroa

The identification is complete in the case of seven "settled" blocks with the exception of the insertion of the N.A.V. . The Field Valuation Shocts have not boen found for this urban area so that it has not been possiblc to complete the identification for the "non-settlod" blocks. Howèver, Field Valu tion Sheets dated 1940/41 heve been found for cleven blocks out of twenty-one and these have been used, but owing to the date, the identification may be incorrect.

## Becrsheba Urban Area

The Field Valuation Shects heve not been found. A limited amount of identification has beon possible by an examination of the Register of Doedsg. but it cannot be rogarded as in any way complete.

## Villages

In the case of Burcij, Deiraban, Jarash nod Khirbst Ismallah, no. Tax Distribution List have bcen found, but in the casc of Deiraban about half of the identification has beon completed by reference to the provisional Land Settlemont records. In tho cise of Beit Jimal, Deir Rafat, Lifta, Suba, Dalata, Malikiya and Rosh el Ahmor the Tax Distribution Lists for ono or more blocks hive not beon found.
17. A summary of the arcas of all rural lnd excluding Beersheba Subdistrict has bocn preparcd and is attachod as Appondix A/I to this paper. The summary lists the areas undor the various tax catcgories in which they are classified. A summary of the urbon areas showing the N.A.V. of buildings and the area of vacant sites is alsa attached as Appendix $A / 2$. The figures contained in $A p p e n d i x i / l$ have been adjusted to exclude the estimated aroas of fiscal blucks falling on the Jordan side of tho Armistice Line. is
22.

In approachirg, the task of valuation the offico has based its worte. on two fundamental principles:
a) The valuations must be consistent though this does not mean that similar types of land throughout the area will have a similar value
b) The valuation must be based on the evidence of actual market transactions for the different types of property in the areas in which they are situated.
By the adoption of these two principles it was considered that the results obtained would be fair and roasonable.
23. In order to achieve the first principle it was necessary to find some method of establishing the similarity of the various parcels of land, both in the urban and in the rural areas, as no physical inspection was possible. In the case of the urban areas the factor common to all parcels is the New innual Value and in the rural areas (with the exception only of the Beersheba Sub-district) the common factor is the classification into various tax categories.
24. Ls regards the second basic principle it is not of. course possible to obtain a sufficient number of sales at or about the actual date of valuation namely, 29 November 1947, and it was decided to consider all the sales which touk plaoe in the two years prior to the valuation date. This poriod was seléctod as being a period after which the market might be presumed to have scttled down following the end of World War II and before it wos affected by the disturbancos which to k place in Palostine following the adoption of the Partition Plon. It may be noted that when it was apparent that the level in prices was changing as between the beginning and the ond of the period those chinges were taken ints account in establishing the level of value to be adopted as representing the Market Value at 29 Novomber 1947.
25. By law the parties to a trensaction were required to appear bofore the Registrar of Lands. if foe bascd on the cunsideration was charged for registering the transaction. The partios were therefore required to declire to the Registrar of Lands the cunsideration involved. It was the duty of the Registrar to make his own assessment of what the cunsideration was and calculate the foe accordingly. The Registrars lived in tho districts in
which they operated and dealt with a vast number of transactions and they would have a shrewd idea as to the true value of any particular property. Hzving rogard to these factors, it is considered that the declared or assessed value (whichever is the higher) is a fair guide to value. 26. idoption of these principles would ensure that the value ascribed to any particular holding wuald be its true market value in the hands of the owner and not its value to the State of Israel. On the other hand it doos not necossarily reprosent the capital sum which would be required to produce an income on investmont, equivalent to any income issuing out of such property:

## Mothod of Valustion

27. is different critoria are involved, it will be convenient to describe the method of valuntion adoptod under the hoads of:
A. Urben Lands
B. Rural Lands

## A. Ueban Lands

28. Urban Lands aro those to which the Urban Property Tax Ordinance applied and a list of Urban areas in which irabs owned property is attached as Appondix $B / 1$. As stated abuvo tho critarion common to all urban propertios, i.e. buildings and undeveloped land (hereafter referred to as "vacant sites"), was the Not innual Value (N.i.V.) It is nocussary to explain briefly what is meant by N. i. V.
29. Under the Urban Pruperty Tax Ordinance the N.i.V. of any building was the ront for which such building might bo expected to let from year to year after deduction of a statutory allowance for ropairs. The assessment cumittoc which wis required to assess such N.i.V. was required to hove regard to:
"a) The size, moterials and state of repair of the property and the amenitios and value of the site;
b) The use to which the property is put;
c) The rent paid for, or the incume produced by, similar propertios in tho same locality."
In the conse of vacant sites, the N.A.V. whs to be six percent of the sum which the 1 and if sold in open market between a willing seller and a willing gurcheser might po gexpoctod tofsonlize, Tho N. L.Vs wore all roassossed at
intervals of fivel yearsf Exceptions to the five year assessment rule becrme necessary when an individual property was altered in chnnicter e.g. a vacant site developed by the erection of a building.
30. From the above it will be observed that if the law had been strictly administered, the N.A.V. would have been a fair comparison between different properties within any one town and at a certain date. All that would hove been necessary would have been to establish a suitable multiplier of the N.A.V. to obtain the capital or market value. An Examin tion of the sale prices in all classes of property at different levels of N.A.V. indicates that there was some under assessment measured in terms of what the N. A. Vs would have been in 1947/48.
31. In considering the valuation of urban property, a distinction was made between "vacent sites" and buildings owing to the different" basis of N.A.V. This distinction $w \cdot s$ made possible by an examination of the Field Valuation Shects.

## Vacant Sites

32. In the case of vacant sites it will be recolled thet the basis of N.A.V. was $6 \%$ of the capital value. Further, in any urban area the great majority of the N.A.V's will hove bcen based on capital values fixed at the same date (the quinquennial valuation). The capitel figure was normally assessed at a certain figure per square metre and then adjusted to correspond with the procise arca of the particular parcel. Thus it is possible to proceed direct to a comparison of capital value per unit of area to establish the different values of $v$ cant sites in different localities.
33. The first stop wis to mark on largo scale plans each vacont site parcel which was Arab owned using a colour code to indicate the capital value por unit of area as assessud by the tax suthorities. From these plans it w 2 possible to obtain a picture of the relative level of values of vacant sites in the differont districts of any urbon arca. Duo rogard wis had during this process to any "reviscd" values which differed in dato of assessment from the majority. These values may be called "Tax Values." 34. Next an exmination of all the availible sales for the urban areas concerned was made and prices roduced to a figure por square motre and these agein wore morked on the forementioned large scelo plans, together with the dato at which the sale took place. These values may be called "Sales Values." By this procodure it was possible to ascertin the trend of vilue between工 J anuary 1946 and 29 Navember 1947. It was found that the trend was by no
means uniform as between difforent urban areas or even between different parts of the same urban area. The sale vilues were then adjusted where necessary to conform with the sale deemed to hive taken place on 29 November 1947.
34. A comparison of Tax Values and Sale Values now est blished the relationship between the two and it became possible to ascribe a mirket value per unit of area to each parcel within the urban area. The capital values of the whole of each parcel at 29 November 1947 could then be obtained by a simple mathematical prooess.
35. It is emphasized that, where necessary, parts of an urban area were considered separatoly and due regard was hed, whon fixing the market values, to the level of viluc in adjoining parts of the area. Whereas some of the small urbin areas could be dealt with as a single unit, the large towns gonerally split into obvious arcas of different chiracter. These areas were not delineated by any arbitary rule in arriving at thoir valuo but were carcfully calculated by the comparison of tax values and sales valucs as describod rbove.

## Buildings

37. As regrds buildings, the parcels included under this hoad are all Arab-owned buildings together with the land on which they stand and the curtilage surrounding them where it is included in the same assessment. 38. In the case of buildings an entirely different approzch to the problem wis necessary, owing to the fact that the N.i.V. was not, by its legil definition, tied to a capital value as in the case of $v$ cant sites. It is accepted valuation practice in calculating c pital value from the annual net income to apply a suitable factor. This factor is found by ostimating the interost which the market would raquire if the capital sums were to be invested in the proporty, e.g. if a $6 \%$ rate of interost were required, this figure is divided into 100 and the rosult 16.66 is the factor by which the annual net income is convorted into crpital value.
38. By definition the N.A.V. should approximate to the annual not income from any particular proporty, and had the assessment of N. i.V. been up to date it would huve been a simple matter to estimate the different factors for different classos of property and proced diroct to capital value. 40. Howevor, a cursory examination of sele pricos as aginst corresponding Nasle indicated fhat tho latecr wore in get undor-assossod and that a

39. Further, the brief description of the building obtained from the , Ficld Valuation Sheet wis found to be insufficient as a guide to the relatore volues of different buildings.
40. For a similar reason it was found impossible to relate sale price to the doscription of the building e.g. number of floors, number of rooms, type of construction. No doubt such a rclationship existod but the scarcity of the data procluded any reliable valuntion on the basis of description of the building.
41. On the other hand it is considerod that the N.I.V. (whether it be under-assessed or not) would correctly indicate the relntive similarity of holdings having a similar N.i.V. assessed at the same date. 44. The method finally adopted was a modification of the formula N.i.V. x multiplier bised on an interest rate. Instead the multiplier was found directly, by dividing the s?le price by the N.i. $V$. of the building to which the sale price reforred. is a first stop this division of sale price by N.i.V. was carried out for each sale price.
42. in inspection of the results of this operation for any one urban area revoaled th the multiplier for any particular lovel of N.it.V. was remarkably similar. iccordingly it was decided to group N.A.Vs in"brackets of LP 25 and to apply the same multiplicr to all the N. $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Vs}$ within that bracket. 46. It was found that the maximum under-assessment occurred in the lower brackets and that the level of this under-assessment gradually decreased with the.incrcese in N.I.V.
43. The method adopted also has the merit that it provided automically for differences in the yoars of assessmont of N. i. Vs in different towns. 48. The recorded sales in r.spect of urban areas were many. However, a disproportionately high numbor were relited to buildings in the lower net annual value brackets (which reflectod the higher density of parcels in those brackets). In the higher Noi. V. br sckots tho position was not as sotisfactory as could have been wishod. The parcels in the top brackets were the least represented while the next lower br sckets gencrally fared better. However, the overall pattern obtained enabled a reasonably accurate approximation to be made which, owing to the decrease in level of underassessment nuted in paragraph 46, wis a capable of being chcoked by tho interest on invostment method.
44. in oxamination of the N.i.V. of all the parcels in any urban aroa prosentod a total and rovaling picture of the nature of the area, nomely
the total number of buildings, the locule of their density and renge of net annual valucs and the total area of vacent land.
45. In the urban areas of Ramle and Bocrshoba the essential documents, namely, the Field Valuation Shects from which the N. it.Vs of the differont parcols could be oxtracted are missing, with the excoption that, in respect of eleven blocks in Ramle the 1940/41 documents were found. Consequently it has so far not been possible to adopt the detailed analysis which was carriod out in the other urban areas.
46. In the case of the Ramle urban ares a comparison was made with the adjoining arcas of Lydda. Three lines of study were developed:
a) The following data was extracted for the urban ares of "Lydda:
i. Number of buildings
ii. Lirca of vacent sites
iii. irea of buildings and their curtilages
iv. Population (Village Statistics 1945)

The assumption was mede that the number of buildings was directly proportional to the population and hence the number of buildings in Ramle could be calculatod. A further assumption was made that the two urban areas wore similar in ch aracter. i simplo calculation produced the area of the buildings and their curtilages, and by deduction from the known total area of Ramle the area of the vacant sites was estimated. The valuation could then be carricd out as a direct comparison with lydde on the assumption that values would be similar in the two arens.
b) The total amount of tax payable in the two urben areas was known and on the assumption that the nature and growth over the period 1945 - 1947 (1944/45 being the year of tax assessment) was similar, a direct comparison was possible between the tax payable and the capital value calculated by the method described in paragraphs $32-49$.
c) The capital value for all the main town as calculated by the method previously describud was divided by the tax payable and resultod in a factor of 600 which can be applied to the tax payble in the Ramle urban area. Mothods (a) and (b) aro considered the more reliable as direct comparison is made between two similar and adjoining urban ireas, whereas method (c) introm duces other factors not comon to either.
52. The Bcorshoba urbin aroa prosentod a more difficult problom in that there was no ad joining urban area which would be assumed to have similar chucteristics. In this case two possible fethods of comparison were studied.
a)

The total capital value of all the smaller urban arces as calculated by the method described in paragraphs $33-50$ was divided by the tax payable and rosulted in a factor of 570 which c n be applied to the tax payable in Bcersheba urban area.
b) The total capital value of the towns referred to in (a) above was compared to the total population (obtained from Village Statistics 1945) and resulted in a factor of 108 which can be applied to the population of Bcersheba urban area.

> A. Rural Lands
54. Rural lands are those to which the Urben Property Tax Ordinance did not apply. hs stated in paragraph 24 the criterion common to all rural lands with exception of the Beersheba Sub-district was a classification into various Tax Categorics under the Rural Property Tax Ordinance, in explanatory list of the tax categories is attached as appendix $C$ to this paper. 55. . With the exception of the Beersheba Sub-district each parcel of rural land ("settled" or "non-settled") was classified undor one ur more of the tax categories and tax payable on the area of the individual parcel in accordance with its category or catcguries.
56. The original classification (ipril 1935) was carried out by Governmentappointed Committces. Thereffter the classification of the land was under a systom of continuous rovision as chenges in land use took place. In the vast majority of cases fruit land (other thin citrus and banenas) and irrigited land was found to be classificd in the citegorius 5-8. Similarly, although some cultivable (ground crop) land was classified under cotegury 8 , the major part fell within tho group 9-15. For all practical purposes, therefore, the total area of land under catogorics 5-8 represents fruit plantations (other then citrus and bannnas) and that under categurios $9-15$, cultivable (ground crop) land. It will be noted that this brond division has been adopted for the purpose of the Village Statistics 1945 where, however, cultivable (ground crop) land was sub-divided into two groups, 9-13 and 14-15. In the analysis of sale prices as described in the following paragraphs, the value within the above groups of categurics in any one village were found to vary slightly or not at all. In view of this and since these grupings afforded a broader besis of anolysis, no distinction was made between une category and another in each group. The Tax groupings adopted were as follows:

| 3 | Bananas |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Built-on-areas |
| 5-8 | Fruit Plantations (other than Citrus \& Bananas) |
| 9-13 | Cultivable land (Ground Crops) (Higher Grade) |
| 14-15 | Gultivable Land (Ground Crops) (Lower Grade) |
| 16 | Uncultivable Land |
| 17 | Fish Punds |

57. The Mandatory idministration prepared for the inglo-imerican Committee of Enquiry in 1946 a classification of the whole of Palestine by topography, soil types and rainfall. This classification fell into ten zones which are set out in detail in Appendix $D$ to this report. These zones referred to hereafter as Regional Zones. They are indica'ted on a map and the zone classification of any particular block was readily identifiable.
58. From a study of the tax categories and the regional zones, it was possible, in any particular village, to distinguish the parcels having similar characteristics and it was on this basis that it was decided that the valuation could be carried out.

## Lands other than Garden or Fringe Areas

59. Lis a first stop in the valuation process all the sale prices of transactions which tjok place in the years 1946-1947 were analysed for such village. The analysis consisted of setting out on forms the block and parcel number, the area of the parcel, the tax ctegory, the date and amount of the consideration (as assessed or declared) and the share of the whole which was being sold. From this it was possible to deduce the sale price per dunum and consequently the value per dunum of the whole parcel. 60. The result of this analysis was transferred to sumnary sheets and the total area of each parcel affected by a sale was multiplied by the value per dunum to give the total value of the parcel. This was done for each tax category or gruups of tax categories in each village and where necessary for the difforent rogional zones. It was then possible to obtain the average price for all land of the smo tax classification in the same zone in each village.

## Garden and Fringe Areas

61. It was realized that there were two other classes of rural land which did not admit of analysis on the tax category basis, namely:
a) Comparativoly small areas which immdeiately surround the built-on-areas of villages where the land was
divided into small parcels and which were used mainly for the cultivation of fruit and vegetables and also formed the land immediately available for any expansion of the built-on-area. Such "garden areas" are fairly readily identified from an inspection of the various plans available.
b) Certain areas in the neighborhood of large towns notably Jaffa, Tel Aviv, Haifa and Jerusalem where the land had a potential development value.
62. It became apparent at an early stage that the land in the "Garden area" had a higher value than the surrounding land and this was therefore treated as falling within a separate zone and the average calculated accordingly.
63. It also become apparent that the land adjoining towns had a special value and this wns treated differently in that the analysis of the salo prices was not related to tax categories. It was found that such land had a value in excess of its value for existing use which was attributable to the prospect of development by buildings or other purposes required by the proximity of the urban areas. In these cases the value did not vary with the tax category in the same way as they did in the case of the purely rural aroas. The sale prices were therefore analysed for each block and thus an appropriate value for the Arab-owned parcels in each block could be deduced.

## Valuation

64. The results of the analysis were then plotted on plans to a colour code by value and a clear picture was then presented of the different values prevailing in each village and an overall picture of the trend throughout the country. It was therefore a simple matter to deduce value for those villages where the sale deta was scanty or lacking altogether.
65. Master Sheets for each village including border villages in so far
as the land falls in Israelphere then produced showing the total area of Arab-owned property for each melevant tax category or group of tax categories within each Regional Zone essuelyas for the "Garden area" where applicable. In this way the deduced value ofeteh could then be applied and a total market value for each village could be calculated.

## Built-on-areas

66. The village built-on-areos which were classified under Tax Catogory 4 presented special difficulties.
a) The defanled survey and settlement of title of these areas were abandoned at an early stage in the process of Land Settlement under the Mandatory Administration. In the vast majity of cases therefore no data is avaplable as to buildings and since they were not subject to the, Urban Property Tax, no N.A.V. is availabiento, Corm a basis of valuntion as in the case of pribznereas.
b) There waspractically no market in the ordinary commercidentense for village houses and the matter is furthegtegmplicated by the fact that the few sales avalidiletare not representative of the typical buitt-on-area. Also even had a large number of sides, been available the difficulty of identification with the property sold would preclude their use in taluation. It was therefore necessary to examine otter methods of valuation from those gencrally dapted in this paper.
67. Village Development Survey plans to a large scale, propared in 1946 , were available in the oase of a substantial number of villages and approximate Iy 50 of these were studied in great detait. This study revealed that the built-on-areas ran remarkably truefo, type, In each of the villages studied the areas of the buildings were eccurtefelgensured both in the case of the buildings actually within the buile ton-areatend those which had been erected on land of other tax categorles putsite the iphit-on-area.
68. The area of the builaings anthtn the built-on-area was then compared 7 with the lotal exfent ff the ratara Tho stas istency of building coverage
particularly within Sub-districts was of a sufficiently high order to justify the use of the percentage building coverage as a method of estimating the total area of buildings within the built-on-area.
69. In those built-on-areas where settlement of title operations has been carried out and consequently where the location of each individual percel was known, a study was also made of the area of land covercd by buildings in different sizes of parcels. Fourteen such villages were so studied. This study revealed a definite porcentage of building coverage for differcnt groups of parcels taken by area. As might have been expected the smallor parcels had the greater puilding percentage. It was not feasible to use the data from this study in arriving at the total value of any built-on-area but the information may well prove of benefit in arriving at the full improved value of individunl parcels in a subsequent operation.
70. In the absence of any reliable data as to the value of buildings and land in the open market it is a common practice of valuation to deduce a value by adding together the value of the land and the cost of the buildings. The mothod his considerable drawbacks, the chief of which are:
a) The value for sale after completion is not necessarily the same as the value of the land plus building cost, as the value of the finished article' is influenced by supply and demand.
b) The method does not $t \mathrm{kc}$ into acccunt differences in the age of different buildings unless considerable adjustment is made to the building cost.
Nevertheless it was considered that some modified version of the above basis was the only possible method to adopt in the circumstances.
71. In the case of a few of the "settled" built-on-areas, sales transections wore available which could be attributed to individual parcels of land and buildings from which it was possible by onalysis to deduce the approximate value of the building as price per square metre after deducting the value of the land.
72. It was accordingly doicided to employ a given figure por square motre as the average value of buildings over the country as a whole. Since this figure wis dorived from actual sale prices it reflected the effocts of dem preciation and chenges in merket value.
73. Again, very little evidence was nvailable ns to the value of vacant
sites in built-on-areas. The orymnal frate of tax in built-on-areas was 10\% of a low capital valuesof pp 27 per tunum for the land only. In 1944/45 the tax rate was quadrupled, which moy de considered to reflect the increased capital value which would then be ip 108 per dunum. From a study of the few sales available in "settledw bugit-on-areas, and from a comparison with vacant sitos in small urban enreas insufficient evidence was available to form a reliable guide as to the phlue of anyparticular built-on-area vacant site. 74. It secmed reasonabletu suppose that the vacant sites in built-onareas would bear a relationship to the talues found for the "Garden area" which generally encircles the buython-area. It might be argued that the values within the built-on-hrear vodid pof no higher than that immediately adjoining, but such evidencens dors oxist indicates that this is not so, and that the value of built-on-arod iendexceeds thit of "Gardon area" land.
 value at LP 27 in 1935. In ordor to eptabiash the relationship botween "Garden area" value with thatuf g vecont; pite in a built-on-area, the villages having the lowest garden groa value were studied and it was found that if these lowest values were multhplied by a factor of 3 a figure of approximately LP 100 rosultod. When acherdingly decided to adopt three times the "Garden area" value ns the Thdue of built-on-area vacant land.
 value of the built-on-arca can betoptained by combining the building coverage in square metres multiplied by the viduther metre and the value of the bare land, calculated at threc times the MGorden orea" vilue. However, study of the large scale plans indicated thet in most cases there is a cortain amount of building outside the built-on=hrea, and such building would be excluded by the aboce calculation. It was thereforeydecided to oxtend the built-onarea study to include such buildings, and as no comparison between building areas and land areas could be made he seemed reisonable to base the tutal area of buildings on the total popuration, which is obtained from the Village Statistics 1945.
74. In the "Survey of Social end EConopic Conditions in Arab Villages" published in 1944 by the Mandatory faministestion, five carefully selected villages are studied in great detaty. In a chepter on family groups the Survey concludes that "about $10 \%$ 垂 23 persons are included in families of $4-7$ members. The most predominant size iSl $5-6$ persons. 1 In enother chapter on Housing the conclusion 15 drunh thitin a family of 5 the cyergage
density of persons per dwelling unit of one room was 2.9 and for a family of 6 it was 3.1 .
75. From the Office study of building area and population and from the study of the large scale maps themselves it appeared that the average dwelling unit of one room had an area of betwoen 25 and 35 square metres. It would appear therefore that the average fomily would occupy a two room house containing an area of approximately 60 square metres.
76. The method finally adopted to arrive at the value of land and buildings in the built-on-areas and the buildings only outside the limit of the built-onarea was as follows:
a) The value of the bare land inside the builton-area was found by multiplying its area by 3 times the value of the "Gorden area" surrounding it.
b) The population obtained from the village statistics was divided by 3 and the result gave the number of rooms of an average size of 30 square metres, and consequently the area of building which might be expected to be found in the average built-on-area and its environs.
c) The area of buildings in square metres found by this process was then multiplied by the value per square metre added to the value of the land from (a).
This process would be carricd out for eack village and it is considered that the resulting capital value would represent $a$ fair and reasonable assessment of the mirket value of the buildings and land in the built-on-areas, together with other buildings in the environs.
77. However, it was thought desirable to check the total result by a more direct method not so closely relited to the population figure. From the study of 50 villages described in paragraph 67 above, the totel area of buildings both within and outside the limits of the built-on-area was comparod with the total area of the latter and a percentage coverage deduced. These percontages were applied to the total area of the built-on-areas in each subdistrict and the result gave the estimated total of building area. The rosult multiplied by the value per metre was added to the value of the land obtained as before.
78. A difference in the two figures was accounted for by the fact that the
latter took into account outbuyidingstetc., wherens the former was based on the occupied rooms only, However, ethe second method also applied the building multiplier to these outhunidings, which wis incorrect ss their value was likely to be lesssh that of the dwelling houses. It seemed reasonable therefore to employ n menhof the two figures and to use the resulting figure in the valution process.
79. Within the built-on-preas there generally existed one or more small industrial buildings suchtas an olize press or a flour mill. In most cases these were not identifiedliby the tax hists as their net annual value was under IP 20. In order topinciude a daiue in these cases, in which no transaction had bcen recorded, the totan figure found for each built-on-area would be rounded up to the next highestmp 1,000 . Their value was essentially small and for the purpose of the fisk would be adequately covered by this addition.

## Beersheba Sub-district

82. As mentioned in paragraph 34 the 2 ña in the Beersheb? Sub-district was not classified into tars ontegorsos as in the rest of the country and accordingly a different method of ralu tion had perforce to be adopted. It is convenient to regard, fho 物olo srea as divided into:
a) Cut 2 rabae Lundi
b) Rough Ginzing and Desert Lands
83. In the first plece this vart region of twelve and one half million dunums was not divided info admonestratye yillages as was the case in other Sub-districfs where fends sodg could be precisely or reasonably accurately located in each thringes Ghe sales in the Beersheba Sub-district therefore had to be identhed with the general location of the land sold if not the precisterdo thona Ror fontedy, enough information was obtained from the Registers of Dueds end the Dasic forms (RP/I) to enable this to be done i.e. the name of the tringat area, the nome of the locality and the boundaries of eqoh cegherered qeap proved tobe sufficient guide in almost all cases.
84. The locations of shi seles prece pibted one general map of the aroa,

ed with an increase in the distance from inhabited areas and main linas of communication.
85. Using this salc information and by a carefully study of topographical maps and the Regional Zones the capital value of all Arab-owned cultivable land in the Beersheba Sub-district could be estimated after allowing for trees and buildings.
86. There remained the problem of the value of the rough grazing and desert areas which comprise the rest of the Sub-district. It might be argued that the ten and one-half million dunums of uncultivable land had no value but this argument is untenable as the area did, it least in pert support a nomadic population. It is most unlikely that-such lands were sold in the open market and certainly this Office had no information covering any transactions in land which might have taken place.

87. This section of the paper warorsthet strec of work in which the veluetion asta obtaino? previgush tould bo eppliod so ss te escribe a proporty valuc to osch incivecuad phrcol
88. Durine tho course of this fork andondesvour was mado to examine ench incivicurl parcol in rolationship to othor porcols anc, whon onsiderod nocossary to vary tho valuation cata to meftoct the greator or losser valu which oach parcol was consirorcr to hevehthemparison with othor parcols in the samo goneral loonlity.
89. For convenionce this soction is asen rivider into:
A. Urban Lands
A. Urben Lenris
90. Since for tho purpose of gh dyor-pdy vaintion the method seopted ontrilor a soporato calculption for onch parcel or eroups of percols, very little adritional valuation would 20 nocosseny to thscribe a value to oach inrivicual parcol.
91. Novortholoss, in the faso $0 f$ vatant sutos tho opportunity wos taken to exomino cach parcol in dotaty inth partheutar rogarc to its prociso locality anr in so $f r$ as possiblomitsu phystea, ohefactoristios.
92. Evory availuble rolevent saio pryco was consicorod in arriving at the
 valuo lovel to eny pertictare percon gauld giva a highor or lower valuo than on nctual salc price on that parcops This eppront anomaly rosulted from tho influonce of the other, retovant sexe gheos, the the arce which woro also the besis for fixing the particulaf merkot yatuo lovel orncorner.
93. With rogard to Ropho and Boorshoba Unben areas it would not bo possible to nscribe n value to in arguag hacelso since itontification is incomplete,


## B. RURAJ IL NDS

94. It will be rocalled thet in the initial approach tho basis of differontiation botween one parcol of land and anothor was the tax cotogory assignod to it by the Mandetory Authority.
95. It was assumer thet all land heving the same tax category within a villece would have the same valuc por unit, of aroa subjoct only to variation euc to leo tion in eifforent topoerarhic soil anr! rainfall zonos. 96. Tho valuetion onta was obtainor by takine an avorace pricó per dunum as indicated by all the sales which trok place in the yonr 1946 and 1947 within a eiven villege aron. The wookness in this methor was that it would not teke inte nocount the effect of uncven eistribution of the lond sold, c.E. if all the land sole in any village wore in a "high" value arce, the rosult aplioc as an avorace to all the lane in the villego would rosult in ovor-valuntion and vice verse. While for the rurose of ovor-all valuation the mohed cruld fairly be doscriber as ziving roascnably accurate estimates, it whle not r.o so when esch inclivirual parcel was considerce.scperately. 97. Consoquontly, when consideration of incivicual percols commonoed, onch villege was romexmined in consirerable dotail, and tho following procedure ncoptod......
96. In the casc of "sottled" villages oach parcol, and in the case of "nenmsettloc" villacos each block and, whon possible, locality within the blook was oonsideroce with the aice of larco scalc plans anc smaller scalc topocrohicel maps in rclation to its locetion, aspoct, prorimity to built-onarca, ctc., in nddition to the broar classification by tax cotogory. Thes, in the absonco of physical inspoction, all the fecters which mi hht heve affoctor valuc wore so f r as pessiblo takon into account.
97. The selc rices wore, also ro-oxemined with perticuler regrer to the locetion of the len's sole, an? whore it was consieoror nocossary, the previcusly foun avoreos (sco paracraph 60) wero arjuster to ornform with a more cquitable mattern.
98. The valuc of onch marcel or grup of parcols wruld vary up or down from the nverso in sccoreanco with tho physicel charactoristics roferred to in mararaph 98 above.
99. The same retailoce study was made forland in carron and urben fringe sroas but, as mirht bo expecter, sinco thoso her alroney boon cxaminod as smal units, logs erjustmont was found to bo nocossery. Howover, it weuld not bo. possible to siscribo gercon or urbun fringo ara values to inrivirual arcels of lenc. in some non-scttloc villofes where the identity of mrcols constitutine thosc nross cennot be roterminec with any recrec of accurecy. Conscquontly, all the arocls in the rorticular village concornod wruld bo valuce icnorine these "oxcess" values, the sume of which would be loft as an uneistributod lump sum nne ardor to the tetal of indivirunl arcol volucs the one of the valuntion procoss, to bo aportionoc at a lator rato should it bo possiblo to escortein the recise leontion of parcols within blocks.
100. In tho casc of villazes whore tho salos rata was santy or lackine altogather, the same method as roscriboc in raracrayh 64 above wasuer with the areition of the more c.ctrile? study of the rhysical charactoristics of esch arcol.
101. It is oonsicorod that by this tochniquo ovorythin possible short of physical ins;oction was rono to tokc into scoount the vrious foctors which affoet tho valuc in the onon market of any rarticular marcol of land. 104. In the cese of the non-sottlec bor"er villege whore the location of the procels folliñ in the blocks cut by the limistic Line has not yot beon detcrmined with rogerd to thet lino, the recolurc orentod woule bo to inclu? tho valuo rif ovory rercol in such a block anr' then make no note of the "excoss velue" in thint block attributable to tho aros falling outsice Isreel:

## Built-on-aross

105. Those aroas prosent spocial cifficultios (sco pararaph 66 abovo). 106. Howevor retrilce sturics of the problem voro continouc snr? in. consoquonce a somewhet highervaluo we founc armorieto for builrings than hed omorgod from oerlior stucios, Novortholoss, it wh not found possible to moly a velue in rospect of buildings to incividual parcels of lonr, sinco there was no moans of knowine which parool of lon contrince o builrins enr wich did not.
106. Consequently, ench precel of land in the built-on-aras weuld bo fiven a velue as theugh it wore vocent land, loavin" the celculnted sum in respect of buileines to ho distributed at a later dete, when it may be possible to ascortsin the prosenco or otherwise of a buildine on the land. 108. Nevertheloss, in the case of industrial buildincs when the Net Annual Value was in excess of LP 20 , the valuc of the brilding would be included in the vilue of the arecl.

## VI TNDEX OF OMNRS

109. It will bo apracht frcm a stucly of Soction III that tho identification werk wa brsed on the situation of the lan? hy blook ond yarosl numbers and tho . oner's neme rolated thereto. Censequently, it rrovod very rifficult to ascorthin the property of a proticular owner whon the block on parcd number

## woro unkem.

110. In rricr to ev-rome this cifficulty the Commission authorized the Office to comilo en index of ownors which wuld onohlo the proporty holeting of eny proticulnerwner to be roceily nserteinod.
111. An index wos made fer each villea and urban aroa semorately but no sttomet wes mece to osteblish a wicer incox to o ver rwnershir in more then ono villaec or urben croa. The offoct of this was to incroase the amprent number of cwners, owine to the euplication of nomos in thesc cosos where on
 is statistionl and ros net cotnot from the vilue of the ine ex since the ownorvill most likoly know the eoneral locnlity of his roporty ovon theugh ho my not knw its full block sne procel numbor.
112. The completion of this index of nomes translitorntod from the Arebic into English wes found to rrosont spacial rrobloms. The Mendentory recerds on which the idontificotirn procoss wis besor? di? not follow throughout any one translitorntion systom so thet any Lrbbic nome may he founc writton in Enclish in more then cno may. Morcvover, the full nemo of on hrab individuel aroperly writton shule show tho nome of his fathor, ar n'fathor ond cront-Grendfethor er fomily name, in that orcor. Quite of ton enc or more of thoso nemes woro cmitter. is a rosult considernblo delny $n^{n}$. difficulty wes omporioncod in sortinc out the nomos in nimebotical oreor end in idontifyins the so indivirunf.

## VII. CONCLUSION

113. Tho Offico has arhoror strictly to the basic rrinci-los of onsistoncy ane feirnoss in rolating the valuation of Arob-owno? immovoble progerty holrines in Isracl to actuql markot transactions an has sturic? all avoilable infomation in conncotion with noth tho irontificotion and volunticn projocts. It is consicoror thot tho rasults thet woul? be obteinor wule bo feir and rossonoble within the contort of the procramme nnr. subjoct to tho limitntions doscrihod in this papor.


TOTAL
$\mathrm{RP} / 1 \quad \mathrm{RP} / 3$
$K P / 1^{2,2} \mathrm{RP} / 3$

A - GALILSE DISTRICT

| 1 | ACRE | $\begin{aligned} & 134446 \\ & 660911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99683 \\ 408024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34763 \\ 252887 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7912 \\ 287 \end{array}$ | 263 | $39$ | 33. | 2940 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BEISAN | $\begin{array}{r} 365160 \\ 935 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 146232 \\ .935 \end{array}$ | 218928 | 238 | 1377 | 420 | 58 | $37$ | 172 |
| 3 | 3AZARETH | $\begin{array}{r} 409809 \\ 81133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 179444 \\ 68901 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230365 \\ 12232 \end{array}$ | 49 | 420 | - | * | 102 864 | 18 |
| 4 | SAPAD | $\begin{aligned} & 461947 \\ & 234912 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221815 \\ & 125895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240132 \\ & 109017 \end{aligned}$ | 246 | 68 | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 1353 \end{aligned}$ | 40\% |
| 5 | TIBERIAS | $\begin{gathered} 436218 \\ 2813 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 193493 \\ 946 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242725 \\ 1867 \end{array}$ | 93 | 1273 | 286 | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 2 . \end{array}$ | $203$ | 34 |
| B - hatpa distitct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | HAIFA | $\begin{gathered} 881948 \\ 90364 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 352576 \\ 53004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 529372 \\ 37360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 18335 | 5 | 323 | $\begin{array}{r} 549 \\ 480 \end{array}$ | 270: |
|  | SAYARIA D |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Jtan | $\begin{array}{r} 40617 \\ 216595 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35031 \\ 193376 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5586 \\ 23219 \end{array}$ | $\overline{4}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | $\pm$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 267 \end{array}$ |  |
| 8 | nablus | $23444$ | $23414$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 9 | TUTXARM | $\begin{array}{r} 425665 \\ 78011 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 257790 \\ 74781 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267875 \\ 3230 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15209 \\ 501 \end{array}$ | 30701 | $\begin{aligned} & 98 . \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 180 | $\begin{gathered} 977^{\circ} \\ 862 \end{gathered}$ | 39\% |
| D - JERUSALEM DISTRTET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | HEBRON | $\begin{array}{r} 7933 \\ 1254403 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7505 \\ 1137302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 427 \\ 17101 \end{array}$ | $\overline{8}$ | - | - | - | $1032$ | 2 |
| 11. | JERUSALEM | $\begin{array}{r} 26262 \\ 270681 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6040 \\ 215442 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20222 \\ & 55239 \end{aligned}$ | - | 32 | - |  | $.15 \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{12}$ | 251 |
| 12 | EhSALEAR | $6240^{\circ}$ | $6240^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |  |


| $23{ }^{\circ}$ | JAFFA | $\begin{array}{r} 280665 \\ 4419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138903 \\ 1522 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 341762 \\ 2897 \end{array}$ | 41994 | 40425 | 268 | $750$ | $\begin{aligned} & 472 \\ & 862 \end{aligned}$ | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | mavte | $\begin{aligned} & 597177 \\ & 166304 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411620 \\ & 158193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 285557 \\ 8111 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37106 \\ 1874 \end{array}$ | 39883 | 225 | 67 | 865 | $4{ }_{6}^{4}$ |
| F- GAzA district |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | caza | $\begin{array}{r} 808848 \\ 6589 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 670078 \\ 5905 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138770 \\ 634 \end{array}$ | 18643 | 4837 | 19 | 16. | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 19127 \end{array}$ | $\underline{108}$ |
|  | TOTAL SETTLED | 4876695 | 2720213 | 2156484 | 121817 | 137572 | 1360 | 2846 | 3496 | 645: |
|  | TOTAL MON-SETTLED | 2997724 | 2473880 | 523844 | 2692 | ) 31 | $49^{7}$ | 4 | 14250: | $4{ }^{2 x}$ |
|  | GRaND TOTAL | 7874419 | 5194091 | 2680328 | 124509 | 237603 | 1409 | 2848. | 17746: | 487 |

NOTE: (1) Two sets of ficures are chown for each Sub-Districtinthojerra the second, non-settled land.
(2) For an explanstion of the term "settled n nd howemticde 3 BP/3n. gne the revort

SCHEDULS OF THE $\angle R E L S$ OF VACANT SITES AID TOTAL N.A.V. OF BUILDITGS BEIMG $\angle R A B$ OTNED EROPBRTY IN URBIN $\angle R E A S$

| Urion Aro? | Total inco (Vacent Sitcs) <br> (Motric Dunum) | Totel Nois.V. <br> (Builriñs) LP | Lnst Quinquonniäal <br> Tax issossmont me?c <br> for Tex Yonr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\therefore$ CRE | 636 | 37428 | 1947/48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BYIS:M | 222 | 13588 | 1944/45 |
| 1 iffum | 4 | - | 1948/49 |
| H:ZARETH | 2387 | 38436 | 1946/47 |
| SiFAD - | 573 | 13596 | 1946/47 |
| TIBERI..S | 308 | 17314 | 1945/46 |
| Hismis | 4895 | 540527 | 1947/48 |
| SH:Fi. 4 L R | 1964 | 5091 | 1944/45 |
| HaTimya | 47 | $\pm$ | 19:46/47 |
| JBRUSiLL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \%76 | 349393 | 1914/45 |
| BiT Yin | 3 | - | 1944/45 |
| HOLON | 198 | 14 | 19.44/4.5 |
| Jisfis | 6855 | 401808 | 1944/45 |
| RiNiT GiN | 71 | - | 19.15/46 |
| TEL iVIV | 1078 | 4032 | 19.4/45 |
| LYDBia | 23.49 | 26302 | 19.44/45 |
| MiJdis | 823 | 20178 | 1948/49 |
| BESRSH ${ }_{\text {che }}$ |  |  | 19:46/47 |

NOTE: In the case of Remlo an Bocrshobe ircontificntion $f$ ownorshir is not complote $\therefore \dot{n}^{r}$ the stotistical $\therefore$ ?t. is not available. (sco paragrah 16).

Citrus (excluding Acre Sub-district)
2.

Citrus (Acre Sub-district)
3.

Bananas
Village Built-on-area or land reserved therefore and any area which in the opinion of the Official Valuer. is reserved for the erection of buildings.
5.
(1st Grade irrigated land (lst Grade Fruit Plantation
6. . (2nd Grade irrigated land (2nd Grade Fruit PIantation
7.
(3rd Grade irrigated land (3rd Grade Fruit Plantation
8.
(lst Grade. Ground Crop Land
(4th Grade irrigated. land
(4th Grade Fruit Plantation
9.
10.
11.
12.
(2nd Grade Ground Crop Land
(5th Grade irrigated Land
(5th Grade Fruit Plantation
(3rd Grade Ground Crop Land
(6th Grade irrigated land
(6th Grade Fruit Plantation
(4th Grade Ground Crop Land
(7th Grade irrigated land
(7th Grade Fruit Plantation
(5th Grade Ground Crop Land
(8th Grade irrigated Land
(8th Grade Fruit Plantation

13. $\quad$| (6th Grade Ground Crop Land |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| (9th Grade irrigated Land |
| (9th. Grade Fruit Plantation |

(6th Grade Ground Crop Land (9th Grade irrigated Land (9th. Grade Fruit Plantation
(7th Grade Ground Crop Land (10th Grade irrigated Land
'8th Grade Ground Crop Land Fish Ponds

Forests, planted and indigenous, and uncultivable land

NOTE: 1. Fruit means fruit other than citrus or bananas
ii. Irrigated land means land served by a natural water supply ( 1 was not upgraded if artificially irrigatod)

## CLisSIFICATION OF P'ILESTINE INTC LiND AMD RiINF:.LL ZONES



| Category | Description | $\frac{\text { Kural Tax }}{\frac{\text { Per Dunum }}{\text { LP Mils }(b)}}(a)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-2 | Citrus | . 100 |
| 3 | Bananas | 2.240 |
| 4 | Village Built-up Areas | . 640 |
| 5 | Ist Grade Irrigated Land \& Ist Grade Fruit Plantation | . 160 |
| 6 | 2nd Grade Irrigated Land \& 2nd Grade Fruit Plantation | . 140 |
| 7 | 3rd Grade Irrigated Land \& 3rd Grade Fruit Plantation | . 120 |
|  | Cultivable Land - |  |
| 8 | 1 te Grade | . 100 |
| 9 | 2 nd Grade | . 080 |
| 10 | 3 rd Grade | . 072 |
| 11 | 4th Grade | . 060 |
| 12 | 5 th Grade | . 048 |
| 13 | 6 th Grade | . 032 |
| 14 | 7 th Grade | . 016 |
| 15 | 8 th Grade | . 008 |
| 16 | Non-Cultivable | Nil |

(a) 4.05 dumums equal one acre.
(b) One Palestine Pound (or 1000 mils) equivalent to one Pound Sterling (\$2.80).

The Rural Property Tax Ordinanoe in Palestine provided for rates according to the estimated productivity of the soil.

The values were as follows (per dunum):

Category

| 1 to 3 | Citirus and Bananas | £P80. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 to 8 | Irrigated lands, fruit |  |
|  | plantations and first |  |
|  | grade ground crop land | EP 48.75 |
| 9 to 13 | Cereal lands | \&P 16.8 |
| 14-15 | Marginal cereal Iands | CP 3.6 |


[^0]:    * Soe paragraph 15

